Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 1 of 55

Chemical Name: Afidopyropen

USEPA PC Code: 026200 USEPA MRID: 49689226 USEPA DP Barcode: 435146 PMRA Data Code (DACO): 9.2.4.8 PMRA Study No. (UKID): 2627491 Data Requirement: Non-guideline

Test Material: BAS 440 00 I (TEP, VERSYS™) **Purity:** 9.7%

Active Ingredient: Afidopyropen

 $\label{lupac name: lupac name: (3S,4R,4aR,6S,6aS,12R,12aS,12bS)-3-(cyclopropylcarbonyloxy)-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,6a,12a,12b-decahydro-6,12-dihydroxy-4,6a,12b-trimethyl-11-oxo-9-(3-pyridyl)-11H,12H-benzo[f]pyrano[4,3-b]chromen-4-yl]methylcyclopropane carboxylate$

CAS Name: [(3*S*,4*R*,4a*R*,6*S*,6a*S*,12*R*,12a*S*,12b*S*)-3-(cyclopropylcarbonyl)oxy)]-

1,3,4,4a,5,6,6a,12,12a,12b-decahydro-6,12-dihydroxy-4,6a,12b-trimethyl-11-oxo-9-(3-

pyridyl)-2H,11H-naphtho[2,1-b]pyrano[3,4-e]pyran-4-yl]methyl

cyclopropanecarboxylate CAS No.: 915972-17-7 Synonyms: INSCALIS™

Primary Reviewer: Thomas Steeger, Ph.D.,

Signature: THOMAS STEEGER ST

Senior Science Advisor, USEPA/OSCP/OPP/EFED/ERB 4 Date: 15 February 2018

Secondary Reviewer: Cameron Douglass, Ph.D.

Signature:

Carrier Douglass 2018.02.15
14:42:21 -05'00'

Biologist, USEPA/OSCP/OPP/EFED/ERB 4 Date: 15 February 2018

PMRA Reviewer: Vedad Izadi Date: 19 September 2017

Evaluation Officer, PMRA/EAD/ERSII

Date Evaluation Completed: 19 September 2017

CITATION: Howerton, H. and L. Gilson. 2015. Determination of residues of BAS 440 00 I in Beerelevant Matrices Collected from Tomatoes following a Full Bloom Foliar Application of

BAS 440 00 I. SynTech Research Laboratory Services, LLC., 17745 S. Metcalf Ave.,

Stillwell, Kansas 66085. Report No. 733093. Sponsored by BASF SE.

Executive Summary:

A 5-day semi-field tunnel study was conducted with formulated afidopyropen (BAS 440 00 I SC; 9.7% active ingredient) applied as a foliar spray to tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) where residues of afidopyropen were determined in bee-relevant matrices (leaves, blossoms, and pollen). The test site consisted of 1 untreated control plot with 2 replicates/subplots and 1 treated plot with 3 replicates/subplots. Tomato seedlings were transplanted in each plot during summer of 2014. Prior to sampling, tunnels were erected over the tomato plants in each subplot (5 tunnels total) and 3 bumblebee colonies were placed in each tunnel. At full bloom, tomatoes in the treated plots received a

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 2 of 55

single foliar application of BAS 440 00 I (SC) at a rate of 50 g BAS 440 I/ha/application (0.272 lbs a.i./A). Bee-related matrices (pollen, flowers, and leaves) were sampled at approximately 4 hours on day 0 after application (0 DAA), 1 DAA, 2 DAA and, 5 DAA.

All samples were analyzed for afidopyropen residues using BASF Method D1412/01 for the "Determination of Residues of BAS 440 I and its Metabolite M440I007 in Bee Matrices". Afidopyropen residues in control samples of leaves, blossoms and pollen were below the limit of detection (LOD=0.01 mg ai/kg). Mean (\pm standard deviation) residues afidopyropen in leaves were 1.93 mg/kg \pm 0.250 (peak=2.13 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.843 \pm 0.225 mg/kg (peak=1.06 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.523 mg/kg \pm 0.136 (peak=0.63 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.263 \pm 0.067 mg/kg (peak=0.34 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of the transformation product M440I007 in leaves were 4.55 \pm 0.588 mg/kg (peak=5.10 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.52 \pm 0.246 mg/kg (peak=1.78 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.67 \pm 0.178 mg/kg (peak=0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.390 \pm 0.070 mg/kg (peak= 0.47 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In flowers, mean afidopyropen residues were 1.42 mg/kg \pm 0.237 (peak=1.67 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.837 \pm 0.275 mg/kg (peak=1.01 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.467 \pm 0.071 mg/kg (peak=0.53 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.270 \pm 0.026 mg/kg (peak=0.30 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of M440I007 in flowers were 2.07 \pm 0.399 mg/kg (peak=2.41 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.19 \pm 0.373 mg/kg (peak=1.49 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.633 \pm 0.166 mg/kg (peak=0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.260 \pm 0.044 mg/kg (peak= 0.31 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In pollen, no data are available for residues of the parent or transformation product at 0 DAA. Mean residues of afidopyropen were 0.067 mg/kg \pm 0.015 (peak=0.08 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.173 \pm 0.031 mg/kg (peak=0.20 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.177 \pm 0.059 mg/kg (peak=0.22 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of M440I007 in pollen were 0.107 \pm 0.021 mg/kg (peak=0.13 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.353 \pm 0.090 mg/kg (peak=0.41 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.213 \pm 0.075 mg/kg (peak=0.29 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In general, residues of both the parent and the transformation product in leaves and flowers declined by >80% from 0-4 DAA; residues of M440I007 ranged from 1.3 to 2.4x higher than those of the parent. In pollen though, afidopyropen residues increased by a factor of 2.6x from 1-4DAA; residues of the transformation product were 1.2 to 2x higher than the parent from 1-4DAA. Since residues in pollen were not measured on 0DAA, it is unknown whether afidopyropen and the M440I007 may have been higher on the day of application.

Results Synopsis:

Peak residues after foliar application of afidopyropen at nominal rate of 50 g a.i./ha (0.272 lbs a.i./A):

Leaves: 2.13 mg/kg (Parent); 5.10 mg/kg (M4401007) Flowers: 1.67 mg/kg (Parent); 2.41 mg/kg (M4401007) Pollen: 0.22 mg/kg (Parent); 0.41 mg/kg (M4401007)

EPA Classification: Supplemental (may be used quantitatively)

PMRA Classification: Reliable with restrictions

I. DATA SOURCE

USEPA MRID No.: 49689226 **PMRA UKID:** 2627491

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 3 of 55

Study Title: Determination of residues of BAS 440 00 I in Bee-relevant Matrices

Collected from Tomatoes following a Full Bloom Foliar Application of

BAS 440 00 I

Study Author(s): Howerton, H. and L. Gilson

Testing Laboratory: SynTech Research Laboratory Services, LLC., 17745 S. Metcalf Ave.,

Stillwell, Kansas 66085

Laboratory Report No.: 733093

Sponsor Study No.: BASF Reg. Doc. #: 2015/7001307

Study Completion Date: 23 December 2015

Data Access: Data submitter is data owner

Data Protection Claimed: Yes

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test Guideline: Non-guideline

Deviations from Guideline: Test substance was not temperature monitored from June 6 0 August

13, 2014; pollen sample size ODAA did not meet minimum sample size; although some pollen was to be retained, no such samples were retained as there was insufficient quantities to do so. An additional sample data (1 DAA) was included; water was added to some leaf and flower samples during analytical sample cleanup. Validation was

performed at 1,000x limit of quantification (LOQ) rather than 100x LOQ given the high residue levels measured in the actual samples. Mass spectroscopy was conducted at $594 \rightarrow 202$ transition rather than the specified $435 \rightarrow 202$ transition. Incorrect spray volume was used during application. According to the study authors, none of the deviations to

the protocol had an adverse effect on the validity of the study. Study conducted compliant with EPA Good Laboratory Practice

requirements (40 CFR Part 160).

A. MATERIALS

GLP Compliance:

Test Material: BAS 440 00 I (Reg. no. 5 599 022)

Active ingredient: BAS 440 I (afidopyropen)

CAS Number 915972-17-7

Test Material Identity: BAS 440 00 I Batch No. 1767-104-1; dispersible concentrate; 9.7% (w/w)

100 g a.i./L; density 1.0235 g/cm³.

Details on Preparation and Application of Test Materials:

The treated plots received 1 application of BAS 440 00 I (SC) at a rate of 50 g BAS 440 I/ha. The target spray volume was 450 L/ha, however, the application was made to tomatoes at full-bloom with a spray volume of

339 L/ha.

Analytical Monitoring: Yes

Details on Analytical Method: All samples were analyzed for residues of afidopyropen using BASF

Method D1412/01 "Determination of Residues of BAS 440 I and its

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 4 of 55

Metabolite M440I007 in Bee Matrices using LC-MS/MS" at a limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.01 mg/kg and a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.002 mg/kg was estimated at 20% of the LOQ. Residues of afidopyropen were extracted and partitioned using acetonitrile and salt solution, and then cleaned-up using dispersive solid-phase extraction (dSPE). The determination of afidopyropen was performed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) positive ion electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS-ESI), monitoring ion transitions m/z 594→148 for BAS 440 I and m/z 1188→576 for the transformation product M440I007.The limit of quantification (LOQ) for BAS 440 I (afidopyropen) and M440I007 in bee-related matrices is 0.01 mg/kg each.

For validation, untreated leaves, flowers and pollen were fortified at 0.01 (1x LOQ) and 10 mg/kg (1000x LOQ); additionally, performance of the analytical methods was evaluated during each sample set by fortifying controls with a mixed standard containing each reference substances.

Reference Material:None
Reference Material Identity:
N/A
Vehicle:
N/A

Test Organism (Species): Bumblebees (Bombus impatiens)

Animal Group: Arthropoda/Insecta/Hymenoptera/Bombidae

Details on Test Organisms: Bumblebees were only used as sampling device. No biological

observations were made. Bumblebees, *B.* impatiens. (Hymenoptera, *Bombidae*), as typical for commercial use were used as sampling device for pollen. The colonies were purchased from a commercial vendor (Koppert Biological Systems). Colonies (3/tunnel) were placed in tunnels four days prior to treatments. Hives were closed during test substance application and reopened after the spray had dried. Two days after application, another set of colonies (2/tunnel) were added to

the tunnels for the final pollen collection events.

B. STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS

Study Type: Semi-field (tunnel) study

Test Duration Type: Determination of residues of afidopyropen and its transformation

product M440I007 in bee-relevant matrices up to 5 days after

application of the test item

Limit Test: Yes **Total Exposure Duration:** 5 days **Post-Exposure Observation Period:**

Bumblebees were only used as sampling device. No biological

observations were made

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 5 of 55

Test Location: This residue study was conducted in Stilwell, Kansas, USA

Test Environmental Conditions:

Natural field conditions; according to the study report, actual temperature recordings ware comparable with average historical values for the application period. Air temperatures was at 75.8 °F during application of the test item; 82% relative humidity with the wind at 1.4

- 2.2 mph and no cloud cover

Photoperiod and Lighting: Natural (ambient) environmental conditions.

Nominal and Measured Concentrations:

The treated plots received 1 application of BAS 440 00 I (SC) at a rate of 50 g BAS 440 I/ha. The target spray volume was 450 L/ha, however, the application was made to tomatoes at full-bloom with a spray volume of

339 L/ha.

The tunnels were 279 m² (3,000 ft²) in size 46 m L x 6.1 m W (150 ft L x **Test Plots:**

20 ft W) with a maximum height of 3 m (10 feet). Tunnels were covered with 3 - 4 mm insect proof mesh netting. The distance between the control and treated plots was approximately 61 m (200 ft). The approximate distance between treated tunnels was 3 m (10 ft). Three bumblebee colonies per tunnel were placed in the tunnels during the flowering period of the crop and allowed to forage for 4 days prior to the application and first pollen sampling event. Hives were closed during test substance application and reopened after the spray had dried. Two days after application another set of colonies (2 per tunnel)

were added to the tunnels for the final pollen collection events.

Test Design: A total 25 bumble bee colonies were used for this trial. Colonies were

removed from the tunnels after sampling. The bumblebees were used only as a sampling device for pollen collection from tomato crop. Samples of pollen loads (via foraging bumblebees), flowers, and leaves were collected. Sampling occurred at 4 hours after application on 0 DAA), 1 DAA (included since insufficient pollen was obtained at 0 DAA), 2 DAA, and 4 DAA. After sampling at 2 DAA, two additional bumblebee colonies were introduced to each tunnel. Matrices in both control subplots were sampled first or sampled by different technicians, to prevent contamination. Samples were then taken from the three afidopyropen-treated subplots. Leaf and flower samples were collected by passing through the plots and hand-collecting samples from at least 12 different sections and from all parts for the plants (i.e., inside, outside, high, low). Samples of leaves and flowers were then split into 2 sub-samples (A for residue analysis and R to be retained). Pollen was sampled by collecting forager bumblebees with visible pollen loads. The bees were collected in jars with dry ice and the pollen was later scrapped off the bee and transferred to an amber jar. A minimum of 5 g of leaf and flower samples were collected for analysis.

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 6 of 55

III. APPLICANT'S REPORTED RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Exposure Duration: 5 days

Endpoint(s): Residues of afidopyropen and its metabolite M440I007 in inflorescences

of tomato plants as well as in pollen collected from bumblebees after

exposure to flowering tomatoes, treated once with formulated afidopyropen (BAS 440 00 I; 9.7% a.i.) during flowering in a semi-field residue study in Stillwell, Kansas. All collected residue samples were stored deep frozen (≤ -18 °C) as soon as possible after sampling until shipment for residue analysis. The samples were shipped from the field

test site by ACDS freezer truck to the analytical laboratory, BASF

Corporation (Research Triangle Park, North Carolina).

Basis for Concentration: Nominal **Effect Concentration Type:** Test material

Basis for Effect: Measured residues in flowers and bee-collected pollen from treated

tomato plants (Lycopersicon esculentum).

Applicant-Provided Results:

The study report indicates that mean recoveries from the leaves and pollen "shipping verification" were 83 and 91% of the applied afidopyropen, respectively, after 315 days of frozen storage. Method recoveries for afidopyropen and M4401007 in leaves ranged from 87 - 105% and 76 - 104% respectively. In flowers, recoveries for afidopyropen and M4401007 ranged from 85 - 105% and 85 - 105% and 85 - 105%, respectively. In pollen, recoveries for afidopyropen and M4401007 ranged from 85 - 105% and 85 - 105%, respectively.

According to the study authors, residues of afidopyropen were below the method LOD (<0.002 mg/kg) in/on all untreated control samples, field generated, and commercially purchased pollen. Mean residues afidopyropen in leaves were 1.93 mg/kg (range, 1.65 - 2.13 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.84 mg/kg (range: 0.61 - 1.06 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.52 mg/kg (range: 0.37 - 0.63 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.26 mg/kg (range: 0.22 -0.34 mg/kg) at 4 DAA (**Table 1**). Mean residues of the transformation product M4401007 in leaves were 4.55 mg/kg (range: 3.93 - 5.10 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.52 mg/kg (range: 1.29 - 1.78 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.67 mg/kg (range: 0.47 - 0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.39 mg/kg (range: 0.34 - 0.47 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In $\underline{\text{flowers}}$, mean afidopyropen residues were 1.42 mg/kg (range: 1.20 - 1.67 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.84 mg/kg (range: 0.52 - 1.01 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.47 mg/kg (range, 0.39-0.53 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.27 mg/kg (range: 0.25 - 0.30 mg/kg) at 4 DAA (**Table 1**). Mean residues of M4401007 in flowers were 2.07 mg/kg (range: 1.63 - 2.41 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.19 mg/kg (range: 0.77 - 1.49 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.63 mg/kg (range: 0.48 - 0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.26 mg/kg (range: 0.23 - 0.31 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In <u>pollen</u> mean residues of afidopyropen were 0.07 mg/kg (range: 0.05 - 0.08 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.17 mg/kg (range: 0.14 - 0.20 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.18 mg/kg (range: 0.11 - 0.22 mg/kg) at 4 DAA (**Table 1**). Mean residues of M4401007 in pollen were 0.11 mg/kg (range: 0.09 - 0.13 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.35 mg/kg (range: 0.25 - 0.41 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.21 mg/kg (range: 0.14 - 0.29 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 7 of 55

Table 1. Registrant summary of measured afidopyropen parent and M4401007 transformation product residues (range and mean) in leaves, flowers and bumblebee (*Bombus impatiens*)-collected pollen from tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) at 0, 1, 2 and 4 days after application of afidopyropen typical end-use product BAS 440 00 I (9.7% active ingredient) during bloom.

				[mg/kg]		
Analyte (Matrix)	Days after treatment	n	BAS 440 I n (afidopyropen)		M440I007	
	(DAA)		Range	Mean	Range	Mean
	0	3	1.65 – 2.13	1.93	3.93 – 5.10	4.55
1	1	3	0.61 – 1.06	0.84	1.29 – 1.78	1.52
Leaves	2	3	0.37 – 0.63	0.52	0.47 – 0.81	0.67
	4	3	0.22 – 0.34	0.26	0.34 – 0.47	0.39
	0	3	1.20 – 1.67	1.42	1.63 – 2.41	2.07
Пантана	1	3	0.52 – 1.01	0.84	0.77 – 1.49	1.19
Flowers	2	3	0.39 – 0.53	0.47	0.48 – 0.81	0.63
	4	3	0.25 – 0.30	0.27	0.23 – 0.31	0.26
	0	3	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pollen	1	3	0.05 – 0.08	0.07	0.09 - 0.13	0.11
	2	3	0.14 – 0.20	0.17	0.25 – 0.41	0.35
	4	3	0.11 – 0.22	0.18	0.14 - 0.29	0.21

No Sample due to insufficient sample size.

Applicant-Reported Statistics and Error Estimates

Statistical treatment of the data included simple descripting statistics (*e.g.*, mean; range) for the residue and method recovery data, and calculation of the calibration curve and coefficient of variation (*r*) by linear regression of the instrument responses for the reference standards.

IV. OVERALL REMARKS, ATTACHMENTS

Multiple deviations from the study protocol were noted by the author; however, in general, the study authors did not believe these deviations impacted the scientific integrity of the study.

V. PRIMARY REVIEWER'S ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Mean residues of parent afidopyropen and its M440I007 transformation product are consistent with those calculated by the study authors and reported in **Table 1**.

Reviewer's Statistical Verification:

Descriptive statistics on residue levels at various study sampling intervals were generated using the Proc Univariate procedure of SAS® (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Reviewer's Comments:

While the study report notes that samples of the foliar spray solution were collected for future analysis, there is no indication that application solutions were verified analytically. Therefore, there is uncertainty regarding actual exposure levels in terms of active ingredient applied as the nominal concentration in application solution was not verified analytically.

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 8 of 55

While the report notes that there was no precipitation on the day of application, no information is provided on the weather conditions on subsequent days. The report notes that bees were confined during application and until residues had dried; however, no information is provided on the time required for residues to dry. While the report indicates that the tomato plants were in full bloom, the actual plant development stage is not reported. Two additional colonies had to be added to each of the tunnels after 2 DAA to complete the pollen collection; however, it is unclear why the number was initially underestimated.

Table 2 summarizes the weight of leaves, flowers and pollen collected for each of the study replicates and indicates that at 0 DAA, the weight of pollen collected in controls $(C_a - C_b)$ afidopyropen treatments $(T_a - T_c)$ were 0.0 and 0.04 g, respectively. According to the study authors, the low amount of pollen collected at 0 DAA necessitated the additional of the 1 DAA sampling day. Pollen sample weights 1 DAA were 32% lower in afidopyropen-treated tunnels relative to controls; however, at 2 and 4 DAA, pollen sample sizes from afidopyropen and control colonies were relatively similar.

Table 2. Summary of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) leaf, flower and pollen sample weights for each control (C) and afidopyropen treated (T) replicate at different sampling intervals (days after treatment: DAA).

Replicate	Sample Interval	DAA	Sample Weight (g)	Mean Weight (g) per Treatment	
Ca			7.98	9.62	
Cb			11.25	9.02	
Ta	Leaves	0	15.60		
Tb			14.69	17.3	
T _c			21.72		
C_a			5.74	10.1	
Сь			14.52	10.1	
Ta	Flowers	0	6.65		
Tb			5.29	5.73	
T _c			5.25		
Ca			0.00	0.00	
Cb			0.00	0.00	
Ta	Pollen	0			
Tb			0.04	0.04	0.04
Tc					
Ca			0.27	0.23	
C _b			0.19	0.23	
Ta	Leaves	1	0.14		
Tb			0.10	0.12	
Tc			0.11		
Ca			14.35	14.8	
Сь			15.27	14.8	
Ta	Flowers	1	12.01		
T _b			22.85	16.0	
Tc			13.03	7	
Ca	Pollen	1	11.09	9.56	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 9 of 55

Сь			8.04	
Ta			5.99	
T _b			6.33	6.48
T _c			7.13	- 0.48
Ca			17.09	
Сь			18.05	17.6
Та	1	2		
T _b	Leaves	2	9.72	16.6
			18.55	16.6
T _c			21.40	
Ca			10.89	8.78
Сь			6.67	
Ta	Flowers	2	7.98	_
T _b			7.03	8.85
Tc			11.54	
Ca			0.15	0.14
Сь			0.12	0.14
Ta	Pollen	2	0.20	
T _b			0.10	0.15
T _c			0.14	
Ca			12.68	44.5
Сь			10.27	11.5
Ta	Leaves	4	9.22	
T _b			24.94	19.0
T _c			22.77	7
Ca			5.74	
Сь			5.13	5.44
Ta	Flowers	4	6.08	
T _b		·	6.17	6.77
T _c			8.06	†
Ca			0.09	
Сь			0.06	0.08
Ta	Pollen	4	0.15	
T _b	TOTICIT	7	0.11	0.14
T _c	-		0.15	- 0.14
I C			0.13	

Reviewer-calculated mean residues (\pm standard deviation) and peak residues of afidopyropen and its transformation product M440I007 are summarized in **Table 3**. Mean and peak values are similar to those reported by the study authors (**Table 1**). Mean and peak residues in leaves for both parent (1.93 \pm 0.250; 2.13 mg a.i./kg) and the transformation product (4.55 \pm 0.588; 5.10 mg/kg) were highest at 0 DAA. Similarly, mean and peak residues in flowers for both parent (1.417 \pm 0.237; 1.67 mg a.i./kg) and transformation product (2.07 \pm 0.399; 2.41 mg/kg) were highest at 0 DAA. For pollen, the mean and peak residues of the parent compound were highest (0.177 \pm 0.059; 0.22 mg a.i./kg) at 4 DAA; however, residues of the transformation product were highest (0.353 \pm 0.090; 0.41 mg/kg) at 2 DAA. It is important to note that no data are available for residue levels in pollen at 0 DAA. The residue data indicate that while residues of afidopyropen decreased in leaves and flowers by 86% and 81%, respectively, from 0 – 4 DAA, residues in pollen increased by a factor of 2.6x from 1 – 4 DAA. Data for M440I007 indicate that residues in leaves and flowers declined by 91% and 87%, respectively from 0 – 4 DAA while residues in pollen appear to have peaked at 2 DAA and then declined.

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 10 of 55

In leaves, the transformation product ranged from 1.3-2.4x higher than the parent compound over the study period; similarly, in flowers, the transformation product ranged from 1.4-1.5x higher than the parent from 0-2 DAA, but by 4 DAA, the residues of parent and transformation product were relatively similar. In pollen, the transformation product ranged from 1.2-2.0x higher than those of the parent compound from 1-4DAA.

Table 3. Summary of reviewer-calculated mean (± standard deviation) and peak measured afidopyropen parent and M4401007 transformation product residues in leaves, flowers and bumblebee (Bombus impatiens)-collected pollen from tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) at 0, 1, 2 and 4 days after application.

	Dave often	Residue levels [mg/kg]			
Analyte	Days after	treatment		Afidopyropen	M4401007
Matrix)	(DAA)	n	Mean ± Std Dev	Mean ± Std Dev	
	(DAA)		(Peak)	(Peak)	
0		2	1.93 ± 0.250	4.55 ± 0.588	
		3	(2.13)	(5.10)	
	4	2	0.843 ± 0.225	1.52 ± 0.246	
001100	1	3	(1.06)	(1.78)	
Leaves 2	2	3	0.523 ± 0.136	0.670 ± 0.178	
	2	3	(0.63)	(0.81)	
		4	3	0.263 ± 0.067	0.390 ± 0.070
	3	(0.34)	(0.47)		
	0	3	1.417 ± 0.237	2.07 ±0.399	
	U	3	(1.67)	(2.41)	
	1	3	0.837 ± 0.275	1.187 ± 0.373	
lowers	1	5	(1.01)	(1.49)	
owers	2	3	0.467 ± 0.071	0.633 ± 0.166	
	2	3	(0.53)	(0.81)	
	4	3	0.270 ± 0.026	0.260 ± 0.044	
	4	3	(0.30)	(0.31)	
	0	3	NS	NS	
	4	2	0.067 ± 0.015	0.107 ± 0.021	
	1	3	(0.08)	(0.13)	
Pollen	2	3	0.173 ± 0.031	0.353 ± 0.090	
	۷	3	(0.20)	(0.41)	
	1	3	0.177 ± 0.059	0.213 ± 0.075	
	4	3	(0.22)	(0.29)	

Reviewer's Conclusions:

In the 5-day study in which afidopyropen formulated product BAS 440 00 I (9.7% a.i.) was applied at a nominal rate of 50 g BAS 440 I/ha (0.272 lbs/A) to tomato plants at bloom mean residues of afidopyropen in leaves were 1.93 mg/kg (peak=2.13 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.84 mg/kg (peak=1.06 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.52 mg/kg (peak=0.63 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.26 mg/kg (peak=0.34 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of the transformation product M440I007 in leaves were 4.55 mg/kg (peak=5.10 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.52 mg/kg (peak=1.78 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.67 mg/kg (peak=0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and were 0.39 mg/kg (peak=0.47 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 11 of 55

In flowers, mean afidopyropen residues were 1.42 mg/kg (peak=1.67 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 0.84 mg/kg (peak=1.01 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.467 mg/kg (peak=0.53 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.27 mg/kg (peak=0.30 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of M440I007 in flowers were 2.07 mg/kg (peak=1.63 - 2.41 mg/kg) at 0 DAA, 1.19 mg/kg (peak=1.49 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.63 mg/kg (peak=0.81 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.26 mg/kg (peak= 0.31 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In pollen, no data are available for residues of the parent or transformation product at 0 DAA. Mean residues of afidopyropen were 0.07 mg/kg (peak=0.08 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.17 mg/kg (peak=0.20 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.18 mg/kg (peak=0.22 mg/kg) at 4 DAA. Mean residues of M440l007 in pollen were 0.11 mg/kg (peak=0.13 mg/kg) at 1 DAA, 0.35 mg/kg (peak=0.41 mg/kg) at 2 DAA, and 0.21 mg/kg (peak=0.29 mg/kg) at 4 DAA.

In general, residues of both the parent and the transformation product in leaves and flowers declined by >80% from 0-4 DAA; residues of M440I007 ranged from 1.3 to 2.4x higher than those of the parent. In pollen though, afidopyropen residues increased by a factor of 2.6x from 1-4DAA; residues of the transformation product were 1.2 to 2x higher than the parent from 1-4DAA. Since residues in pollen were not measured on 0DAA, it is unknown whether afidopyropen and the M440I007 may have been higher on the day of application.

Peak residues after foliar application of afidopyropen at nominal rate of 50 g a.i./ha (0.272 lbs a.i./A):

Leaves: 2.13 mg/kg (Parent); 5.10 mg/kg (M4401007) Flowers: 1.67 mg/kg (Parent); 2.41 mg/kg (M4401007) Pollen: 0.22 mg/kg (Parent); 0.41 mg/kg (M4401007)

EPA Classification: Supplemental (may be used quantitatively)

PMRA Classification: Reliable with restrictions

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 12 of 55

APPENDIX I. Output of Statistics Verified by the Reviewer

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure
Variable: PARENT
SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=0

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	1.41666667	Sum Observations	4.25
Std Deviation	0.23713569	Variance	0.05623333
Skewness	0.67916867	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	6.1333	Corrected SS	0.11246667
Coeff Variation	16.7389896	Std Error Mean	0.13691035

Basic Statistical Measures

Location			Variability		
	Mean	1.416667	Std Deviation	0.23714	
	Median	1.380000	Variance	0.05623	
	Mode		Range	0.47000	
			Interquartile Range	0.47000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	10.3474	Pr > t	0.0092
Sign	M	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	1.67
99%	1.67
95%	1.67
90%	1.67
75% Q3	1.67
50% Median	1.38
25% Q1	1.20
10%	1.20

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 13 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)			
Level	Quantile		
5%	1.20		
1%	1.20		
0% Min	1.20		

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
1.20	1	1.20	1
1.38	2	1.38	2
1 67	3	1 67	3

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 14 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=0

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	2.07	Sum Observations	6.21
Std Deviation	0.39949969	Variance	0.1596
Skewness	-1.0558319	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	13.1739	Corrected SS	0.3192
Coeff Variation	19.2995018	Std Error Mean	0.23065125

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability		
Mean	2.070000	Std Deviation	0.39950	
Median	2.170000	Variance	0.15960	
Mode		Range	0.78000	
		Interquartile Range	0.78000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		Statistic p Value		ue
Student's t	t	8.974588	Pr > t	0.0122	
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500	
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500	

Level	Quantile
100% Max	2.41
99%	2.41
95%	2.41
90%	2.41
75% Q3	2.41
50% Median	2.17
25% Q1	1.63
10%	1.63

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 15 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	1.63	
1%	1.63	
0% Min	1.63	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
1.63	2	1.63	2
2.17	1	2.17	1
2.41	3	2.41	3

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 16 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.83666667	Sum Observations	2.51
Std Deviation	0.27465129	Variance	0.07543333
Skewness	-1.7088314	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	2.2509	Corrected SS	0.15086667
Coeff Variation	32.8268479	Std Error Mean	0.15857

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.836667	Std Deviation	0.27465	
Median	0.980000	Variance	0.07543	
Mode		Range	0.49000	
		Interquartile Range	0.49000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		Statistic p Value		ue
Student's t	t	5.276324	Pr > t	0.0341	
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500	
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500	

Level	Quantile
100% Max	1.01
99%	1.01
95%	1.01
90%	1.01
75% Q3	1.01
50% Median	0.98
25% Q1	0.52
10%	0.52

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 17 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.52	
1%	0.52	
0% Min	0.52	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.52	4	0.52	4
0.98	5	0.98	5
1.01	6	1.01	6

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 18 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	1.18666667	Sum Observations	3.56
Std Deviation	0.37313983	Variance	0.13923333
Skewness	-1.2406927	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	4.503	Corrected SS	0.27846667
Coeff Variation	31.4443678	Std Error Mean	0.21543238

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	1.186667	Std Deviation	0.37314	
Median	1.300000	Variance	0.13923	
Mode	•	Range	0.72000	
		Interquartile Range	0.72000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	5.508302	Pr > t	0.0314
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	1.49
99%	1.49
95%	1.49
90%	1.49
75% Q3	1.49
50% Median	1.30
25% Q1	0.77
10%	0.77

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 19 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.77	
1%	0.77	
0% Min	0.77	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.77	4	0.77	4
1.30	6	1.30	6
1 49	5	1 49	5

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 20 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.46666667	Sum Observations	1.4
Std Deviation	0.07094599	Variance	0.00503333
Skewness	-0.8158431	Kurtosis	•
Uncorrected SS	0.6634	Corrected SS	0.01006667
Coeff Variation	15.2027119	Std Error Mean	0.04096069

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.466667	Std Deviation	0.07095	
Median	0.480000	Variance	0.00503	
Mode	•	Range	0.14000	
		Interquartile Range	0.14000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	11.39304	Pr > t	0.0076
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.53
99%	0.53
95%	0.53
90%	0.53
75% Q3	0.53
50% Median	0.48
25% Q1	0.39
10%	0.39

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 21 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.39	
1%	0.39	
0% Min	0.39	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.39	8	0.39	8
0.48	7	0.48	7
0.53	9	0.53	9

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 22 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.63333333	Sum Observations	1.9
Std Deviation	0.16623277	Variance	0.02763333
Skewness	0.61919947	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	1.2586	Corrected SS	0.05526667
Coeff Variation	26.2472792	Std Error Mean	0.09597453

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.633333	Std Deviation	0.16623	
Median	0.610000	Variance	0.02763	
Mode		Range	0.33000	
		Interquartile Range	0.33000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	6.598973	Pr > t	0.0222
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.81
99%	0.81
95%	0.81
90%	0.81
75% Q3	0.81
50% Median	0.61
25% Q1	0.48
10%	0.48

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 23 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.48	
1%	0.48	
0% Min	0.48	

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.48	8	0.48	8	
0.61	7	0.61	7	
0.81	9	0.81	9	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 24 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.27	Sum Observations	0.81
Std Deviation	0.02645751	Variance	0.0007
Skewness	1.45786297	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.2201	Corrected SS	0.0014
Coeff Variation	9.79907893	Std Error Mean	0.01527525

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		ation	Variability		
	Mean	0.270000	Std Deviation	0.02646	
	Median	0.260000	Variance	0.0007000	
	Mode		Range	0.05000	
			Interquartile Range	0.05000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	17.67565	Pr > t	0.0032
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.30
99%	0.30
95%	0.30
90%	0.30
75% Q3	0.30
50% Median	0.26
25% Q1	0.25
10%	0.25

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 25 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)	
Level	Quantile
5%	0.25
1%	0.25
0% Min	0.25

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.25	10	0.25	10	
0.26	11	0.26	11	
0.30	12	0.30	12	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 26 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Flowers DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.26	Sum Observations	0.78
Std Deviation	0.04358899	Variance	0.0019
Skewness	1.63005916	Kurtosis	•
Uncorrected SS	0.2066	Corrected SS	0.0038
Coeff Variation	16.7649959	Std Error Mean	0.02516611

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		ation	Variability		
	Mean	0.260000	Std Deviation	0.04359	
	Median	0.240000	Variance	0.00190	
Mode .			Range	0.08000	
			Interquartile Range	0.08000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	10.33135	Pr > t	0.0092
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.31
99%	0.31
95%	0.31
90%	0.31
75% Q3	0.31
50% Median	0.24
25% Q1	0.23
10%	0.23

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 27 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.23	
1%	0.23	
0% Min	0.23	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.23	11	0.23	11
0.24	10	0.24	10
0.31	12	0.31	12

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 28 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=0

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	1.93	Sum Observations	5.79
Std Deviation	0.24979992	Variance	0.0624
Skewness	-1.2933428	Kurtosis	•
Uncorrected SS	11.2995	Corrected SS	0.1248
Coeff Variation	12.943001	Std Error Mean	0.14422205

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	1.930000	Std Deviation	0.24980	
Median	2.010000	Variance	0.06240	
Mode	•	Range	0.48000	
		Interquartile Range	0.48000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	13.38214	Pr > t	0.0055
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	2.13
99%	2.13
95%	2.13
90%	2.13
75% Q3	2.13
50% Median	2.01
25% Q1	1.65
10%	1.65

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 29 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	1.65	
1%	1.65	
0% Min	1.65	

Lowest		High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
1.65	13	1.65	13
2.01	14	2.01	14
2.13	15	2.13	15

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 30 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=0

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	4.54666667	Sum Observations	13.64
Std Deviation	0.5875656	Variance	0.34523333
Skewness	-0.4794166	Kurtosis	•
Uncorrected SS	62.707	Corrected SS	0.69046667
Coeff Variation	12.922997	Std Error Mean	0.33923116

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	4.546667	Std Deviation	0.58757	
Median	4.610000	Variance	0.34523	
Mode	•	Range	1.17000	
		Interquartile Range	1.17000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	9	Statistic	p Value	
Student's t	t	13.40286	Pr > t	0.0055
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	5.10
99%	5.10
95%	5.10
90%	5.10
75% Q3	5.10
50% Median	4.61
25% Q1	3.93
10%	3.93

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 31 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)	
Level	Quantile
5%	3.93
1%	3.93
0% Min	3.93

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
3.93	13	3.93	13	
4.61	14	4.61	14	
5.10	15	5.10	15	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 32 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.84333333	Sum Observations	2.53
Std Deviation	0.22546249	Variance	0.05083333
Skewness	-0.3308318	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	2.2353	Corrected SS	0.10166667
Coeff Variation	26.7346823	Std Error Mean	0.13017083

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		ation	variability		
	Mean	0.843333	Std Deviation	0.22546	
	Median	0.860000	Variance	0.05083	
	Mode		Range	0.45000	
			Interquartile Range	0.45000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	:	Statistic	p Val	p Value	
Student's t	t	6.478666	Pr > t	0.0230	
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500	
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500	

Level	Quantile
100% Max	1.06
99%	1.06
95%	1.06
90%	1.06
75% Q3	1.06
50% Median	0.86
25% Q1	0.61
10%	0.61

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 33 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)	
Level	Quantile
5%	0.61
1%	0.61
0% Min	0.61

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.61	16	0.61	16	
0.86	18	0.86	18	
1.06	17	1.06	17	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 34 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	1.52333333	Sum Observations	4.57
Std Deviation	0.24583192	Variance	0.06043333
Skewness	0.42327316	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	7.0825	Corrected SS	0.12086667
Coeff Variation	16.1377629	Std Error Mean	0.14193113

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	1.523333	Std Deviation	0.24583	
Median	1.500000	Variance	0.06043	
Mode		Range	0.49000	
		Interquartile Range	0.49000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	10.73291	Pr > t	0.0086
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	1.78
99%	1.78
95%	1.78
90%	1.78
75% Q3	1.78
50% Median	1.50
25% Q1	1.29
10%	1.29

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 35 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	1.29	
1%	1.29	
0% Min	1.29	

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
1.29	16	1.29	16	
1.50	17	1.50	17	
1.78	18	1.78	18	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 36 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.52333333	Sum Observations	1.57
Std Deviation	0.13613719	Variance	0.01853333
Skewness	-1.3613014	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.8587	Corrected SS	0.03706667
Coeff Variation	26.013475	Std Error Mean	0.07859884

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	
Mean	0.523333	Std Deviation	0.13614
Median	0.570000	Variance	0.01853
Mode		Range	0.26000
		Interquartile Range	0.26000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	6.658283	Pr > t	0.0218
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.63
99%	0.63
95%	0.63
90%	0.63
75% Q3	0.63
50% Median	0.57
25% Q1	0.37
10%	0.37

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 37 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.37	
1%	0.37	
0% Min	0.37	

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.37	19	0.37	19
0.57	20	0.57	20
0.63	21	0.63	21

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 38 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.67	Sum Observations	2.01
Std Deviation	0.17776389	Variance	0.0316
Skewness	-1.345833	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	1.4099	Corrected SS	0.0632
Coeff Variation	26.5319236	Std Error Mean	0.10263203

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	
Mean	0.670000	Std Deviation	0.17776
Median	0.730000	Variance	0.03160
Mode		Range	0.34000
		Interquartile Range	0.34000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	6.528177	Pr > t	0.0227
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.81
99%	0.81
95%	0.81
90%	0.81
75% Q3	0.81
50% Median	0.73
25% Q1	0.47
10%	0.47

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 39 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.47	
1%	0.47	
0% Min	0.47	

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.47	19	0.47	19
0.73	21	0.73	21
0.81	20	0.81	20

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 40 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.26333333	Sum Observations	0.79
Std Deviation	0.06658328	Variance	0.00443333
Skewness	1.6882018	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.2169	Corrected SS	0.00886667
Coeff Variation	25.2847903	Std Error Mean	0.03844188

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	
Mean	0.263333	Std Deviation	0.06658
Median	0.230000	Variance	0.00443
Mode		Range	0.12000
		Interquartile Range	0.12000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	6.850169	Pr > t	0.0207
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.34
99%	0.34
95%	0.34
90%	0.34
75% Q3	0.34
50% Median	0.23
25% Q1	0.22
10%	0.22

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 41 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.22	
1%	0.22	
0% Min	0.22	

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.22	23	0.22	23	
0.23	22	0.23	22	
0.34	24	0.34	24	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 42 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Leaves DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.39	Sum Observations	1.17
Std Deviation	0.07	Variance	0.0049
Skewness	1.57434402	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.4661	Corrected SS	0.0098
Coeff Variation	17.9487179	Std Error Mean	0.04041452

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.390000	Std Deviation	0.07000	
Median	0.360000	Variance	0.00490	
Mode		Range	0.13000	
		Interquartile Range	0.13000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	9.649997	Pr > t	0.0106
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.47
99%	0.47
95%	0.47
90%	0.47
75% Q3	0.47
50% Median	0.36
25% Q1	0.34
10%	0.34

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 43 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.34	
1%	0.34	
0% Min	0.34	

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.34	22	0.34	22	
0.36	23	0.36	23	
0.47	24	0.47	24	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 44 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.06666667	Sum Observations	0.2
Std Deviation	0.01527525	Variance	0.00023333
Skewness	-0.9352195	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.0138	Corrected SS	0.00046667
Coeff Variation	22.9128785	Std Error Mean	0.00881917

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	у
Mean	0.066667	Std Deviation	0.01528
Median	0.070000	Variance	0.0002333
Mode		Range	0.03000
		Interquartile Range	0.03000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	7.559289	Pr > t	0.0171
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.08
99%	0.08
95%	0.08
90%	0.08
75% Q3	0.08
50% Median	0.07
25% Q1	0.05
10%	0.05

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 45 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.05	
1%	0.05	
0% Min	0.05	

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.05	25	0.05	25
0.07	26	0.07	26
0.08	27	0.08	27

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 46 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=1

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.10666667	Sum Observations	0.32
Std Deviation	0.02081666	Variance	0.00043333
Skewness	1.29334278	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.035	Corrected SS	0.00086667
Coeff Variation	19.5156187	Std Error Mean	0.0120185

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	у
Mean	0.106667	Std Deviation	0.02082
Median	0.100000	Variance	0.0004333
Mode		Range	0.04000
		Interquartile Range	0.04000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	8.875203	Pr > t	0.0125
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.13
99%	0.13
95%	0.13
90%	0.13
75% Q3	0.13
50% Median	0.10
25% Q1	0.09
10%	0.09

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 47 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.09	
1%	0.09	
0% Min	0.09	

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.09	27	0.09	27
0.10	25	0.10	25
0.13	26	0.13	26

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 48 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.17333333	Sum Observations	0.52
Std Deviation	0.0305505	Variance	0.00093333
Skewness	-0.9352195	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.092	Corrected SS	0.00186667
Coeff Variation	17.6252911	Std Error Mean	0.01763834

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	/
Mean	0.173333	Std Deviation	0.03055
Median	0.180000	Variance	0.0009333
Mode		Range	0.06000
		Interquartile Range	0.06000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Val	ue
Student's t	t	9.827076	Pr > t	0.0102
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.20
99%	0.20
95%	0.20
90%	0.20
75% Q3	0.20
50% Median	0.18
25% Q1	0.14
10%	0.14

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 49 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.14	
1%	0.14	
0% Min	0.14	

Low	est	High	est
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.14	28	0.14	28
0.18	30	0.18	30
0.20	29	0.20	29

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 50 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=2

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.35333333	Sum Observations	1.06
Std Deviation	0.08962886	Variance	0.00803333
Skewness	-1.7078264	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.3906	Corrected SS	0.01606667
Coeff Variation	25.3666597	Std Error Mean	0.05174725

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability	
Mean	0.353333	Std Deviation	0.08963
Median	0.400000	Variance	0.00803
Mode		Range	0.16000
		Interquartile Range	0.16000

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	6.82806	Pr > t	0.0208
Sign	M	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.41
99%	0.41
95%	0.41
90%	0.41
75% Q3	0.41
50% Median	0.40
25% Q1	0.25
10%	0.25

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 51 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.25	
1%	0.25	
0% Min	0.25	

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.25	28	0.25	28	
0.40	30	0.40	30	
0.41	29	0.41	29	

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 52 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: PARENT SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.17666667	Sum Observations	0.53
Std Deviation	0.05859465	Variance	0.00343333
Skewness	-1.5078085	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.1005	Corrected SS	0.00686667
Coeff Variation	33.1667846	Std Error Mean	0.03382964

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.176667	Std Deviation	0.05859	
Median	0.200000	Variance	0.00343	
Mode		Range	0.11000	
		Interquartile Range	0.11000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	5.222245	Pr > t	0.0348
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.22
99%	0.22
95%	0.22
90%	0.22
75% Q3	0.22
50% Median	0.20
25% Q1	0.11
10%	0.11

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 53 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.11	
1%	0.11	
0% Min	0.11	

Lowest		Highest	
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.11	33	0.11	33
0.20	31	0.20	31
0.22	32	0.22	32

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 54 of 55

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RESIDUES OF PARENT AND DEGRADATE IN VARIOUS MATRICES FROM TOMATO

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: DEGRADATE SAMPLE=Pollen DAA=4

Moments

N	3	Sum Weights	3
Mean	0.21333333	Sum Observations	0.64
Std Deviation	0.07505553	Variance	0.00563333
Skewness	0.19945783	Kurtosis	
Uncorrected SS	0.1478	Corrected SS	0.01126667
Coeff Variation	35.182282	Std Error Mean	0.04333333

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	0.213333	Std Deviation	0.07506	
Median	0.210000	Variance	0.00563	
Mode		Range	0.15000	
		Interquartile Range	0.15000	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	4.923077	Pr > t	0.0389
Sign	М	1.5	Pr >= M	0.2500
Signed Rank	S	3	Pr >= S	0.2500

Level	Quantile
100% Max	0.29
99%	0.29
95%	0.29
90%	0.29
75% Q3	0.29
50% Median	0.21
25% Q1	0.14
10%	0.14

Annex: III, Document: M, Report: III A 10.4.3/03

19 September 2017 Page 55 of 55

Quantiles (Definition 5)		
Level	Quantile	
5%	0.14	
1%	0.14	
0% Min	0.14	

Lowest		Highest	
Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.14	33	0.14	33
0.21	31	0.21	31
0.29	32	0.29	32